Higher Order Derivatives in Costa's Entropy Power Inequality

Fan Cheng, *Member, IEEE* and Yanlin Geng, *Member, IEEE*

arXiv:1409.5543v3 [cs.IT] 4 Aug 2015

Abstract

Let X be an arbitrary continuous random variable and Z be an independent Gaussian random variable with zero mean and unit variance. For $t > 0$, Costa proved that $e^{2h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)}$ is concave in t, where the proof hinged on the first and second order derivatives of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$. Specifically, these two derivatives are signed, i.e., $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(X+\sqrt{t}Z) \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(X+\sqrt{t}Z) \leq 0$. In this paper, we show that the third order derivative of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is nonnegative, which implies that the Fisher information $J(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is convex in t. We further show that the fourth order derivative of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is nonpositive. Following the first four derivatives, we make two conjectures on $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$: the first is that $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is nonnegative in t if n is odd, and nonpositive otherwise; the second is that $\log J(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is convex in t. The first conjecture can be rephrased in the context of completely monotone functions: $J(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is completely monotone in t. The history of the first conjecture may date back to a problem in mathematical physics studied by McKean in 1966. Apart from these results, we provide a geometrical interpretation to the covariance-preserving transformation and study the concavity of $h(\sqrt{t}X+\sqrt{1-t}Z)$, revealing its connection with Costa's EPI.

Index Terms

Costa's EPI, Completely monotone function, Differential entropy, Entropy power inequality, Fisher information, Heat equation, McKean's problem.

I. Introduction

FOR a continuous random variable X with density $g(x)$, the differential entropy is defined as

$$h(X) := -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x)\log g(x)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{1}$$

where $\log$ is the natural logarithm. The Fisher information (e.g., Cover [15, p. 671]) is defined as

$$J(X) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x)\left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(x)}{g(x)}\right]^2 \mathrm{d}x. \tag{2}$$

The entropy power inequality (EPI) introduced by Shannon [1] states that for any two independent continuous random variables X and Y,

$$e^{2h(X+Y)} \geq e^{2h(X)} + e^{2h(Y)}, \tag{3}$$

where the equality holds if and only if both X and Y are Gaussian.

Shannon did not give a proof and there was a gap in his argument. The first rigorous proof was made by Stam in [2], where he applied an equality that connected Fisher information and differential entropy and the so-called Fisher information inequality (FII) was proved; i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{J(X+Y)} \geq \frac{1}{J(X)} + \frac{1}{J(Y)}.$$

Later, Stam's proof was simplified by Blachman [3]. Zamir [4] proved the FII via a data processing argument in Fisher information. Lieb [5] showed an equivalent form of EPI and proved the equivalent form via Young's inequality. Lieb's argument has been widely used as a common step in the subsequent proofs of EPI. Recently, Verdú and Guo [6] gave a proof by invoking an equality that related minimum mean square error estimation and differential entropy. Rioul [7] devised a Markov chain on X, Y, and the additive Gaussian noise, from which EPI can be proved via the data processing inequality and properties of mutual information.

F. Cheng was with the Institute of Network Coding, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, N.T., Hong Kong. He is now with the department of ECE, NUS, Singapore. Email: chengfan85@gmail.com

Y. Geng was with the Department of Information Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, N.T., Hong Kong. Now he is with the School of Information Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, China. Email: gengyanlin@gmail.com

The work of F. Cheng was partially funded by a grant from the University Grants Committee of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (Project No. AoE/E-02/08) and Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Network Coding Key Technology and Application, Shenzhen, China (ZSDY20120619151314964). The work of Y. Geng was partially supported by a GRF grant from the University Grants Committee of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (Project No. 2150743) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (15YF1407900). This paper was presented in part at IEEE Iran Workshop on Communication and Information Theory, 2014 [20].

There are several generalizations of EPI. Costa [8] proved that the entropy power $e^{2h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)}$ is concave in t, where the first and second order derivatives of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ were obtained. Moreover, these two derivatives are signed, i.e., positive or negative. Dembo [9] gave a simple proof to Costa's EPI via FII. Villani [16] simplified the proof in [8] by using some advanced techniques as well as the heat equation noticed by [2], which is instrumental in our work. The generalization of EPI in matrix form was obtained in Zamir and Feder [10]. Liu and Viswanath [11] generalized EPI by considering a covariance-constrained optimization problem which was motivated by multi-terminal coding problems. Wang and Madiman [12] discussed EPI from the perspective of rearrangement.

As one of the most important information inequalities, EPI (FII) has numerous proofs, generalizations, and applications. In Barron [19], FII was employed to strengthen the central limit theorem. The relationships of EPI to inequalities in other branches of mathematics can be found in Dembo *et al.* [14]. The literature is so vast that instead of trying to be complete, we only mention the results that are most relevant to our discussion. A recent comprehensive survey can be found in [7], and the book by El Gamal and Kim [13] also serves as a very good repository.

In this paper, inspired by [8], we make some progress and introduce related conjectures which reveal even more fundamental facts about Gaussian random variables in the view of information theory. By harnessing the power of the techniques in [8], i.e, heat equation and integration by parts, we obtain the third and fourth order derivatives of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$, which are also signed. Summarizing all the derivatives of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$, we conjecture that $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is signed for any n. Corresponding to Costa's EPI, we further conjecture that $\log J(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is convex in t. We investigate the concavity of $h(\sqrt{t}X+\sqrt{1-t}Z)$, showing that it is concave in t and is equivalent to Costa's EPI. We provide a geometrical interpretation to the covariance-preserving transformation. The connection between the convexities of $J(\sqrt{t}X+\sqrt{1-t}Z)$ and $\log J(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ is also revealed. Finally, we state some results from the literature, including McKean's problem and completely monotone functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the background and the main result on derivatives. In Section III, some preliminaries are stated. In Section IV and V, the derivatives are verified. We discuss the uniqueness of the signed form in Section VI. The conjecture is introduced in Section VII. In Section VIII, we give a geometrical interpretation to the covariance-preserving transformation and prove an inequality which is equivalent to Costa's EPI. In Section IX, we discuss some further issues. We conclude the paper in Section X.

II. The high-order derivatives

Consider a random variable X with density $g(x)$, and an independent standard Gaussian random variable Z, denoted as $Z\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. For $t\geq 0$, let

$$Y_t := X+\sqrt{t}Z.$$

The density of Y_t is

$$f(y,t)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}\mathrm{d}x.$$

Notation: For the derivatives, in addition to the usages of f_{yy}, f_{yt} and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}f$, by $f^{(n)}$ we always mean

$$f^{(n)} := \frac{\partial^n}{\partial y^n}f.$$

Sometimes, for ease of notation we also denote

$$f_n := f^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial y^n}f.$$

The integration interval, usually $(-\infty,+\infty)$, will be omitted, unless it is not clear from the context.

In this paper, the main result is the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *For $t>0$,*

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}h(Y_t)=\frac{1}{2}\int f\left(\frac{f_3}{f}-\frac{f_1f_2}{f^2}+\frac{1}{3}\frac{f_1^3}{f^3}\right)^2+\frac{f_1^6}{45f^5}\mathrm{d}y. \tag{4}$$

This implies that $J(Y_t)$ is convex in t.

Theorem 2. *For $t > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4} h(Y_t) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int f\left(\frac{f_4}{f} - \frac{6}{5}\frac{f_1 f_3}{f^2} - \frac{7}{10}\frac{f_2^2}{f^2} + \frac{8}{5}\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + f\left(\frac{2}{5}\frac{f_1 f_3}{f^2} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + \frac{9}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + f\left(-\frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + \frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{300}\frac{f_2^4}{f^3} + \frac{56}{90000}\frac{f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{13}{70000}\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\mathrm{d}y. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4} h(Y_t) \le 0$.

Our work is highly related to the following theorem.

Theorem 3 (Costa's EPI [8])**.** *$e^{2h(Y_t)}$ is concave in t, where $t > 0$.*

There are several methods to prove Costa's EPI, and a straightforward way is to calculate the first and second order derivatives of $h(Y_t)$ and show some inequality holds. The expressions on $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(Y_t)$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t)$ are already obtained in Lemma 1.

Lemma 1.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(Y_t) = \frac{1}{2} J(Y_t); \qquad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t) = -\frac{1}{2}\int f\left(\frac{f_{yy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y. \qquad (7)$$

The proof can be found in [8], [16]. The first equation is called de Bruijn's identity in the literature and is due to de Bruijn. Using Lemma 1, one can readily show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(Y_t) \ge 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t) \le 0$. In Theorem 1, we have presented the expressions of $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} h(Y_t)$ and showed that $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} h(Y_t) \ge 0$. A much more complicated result on $\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4} h(Y_t)$ is stated in Theorem 2. We notice that in Guo *et al.* [18, Proposition 9], the third and fourth order derivatives of $h(\sqrt{t}X + Z)$ have been computed, but these derivatives cannot determine the corresponding signs of $h(X + \sqrt{t}Z)$. However, the signs of $h(X + \sqrt{t}Z)$ are determined by Theorem 1 and 2.

In the next section, we introduce the necessary tools to prove Theorem 1 and 2.

III. Preliminaries

The differential entropy and Fisher information may not be well defined due to the integration issue. In the literature, there are no simple and general conditions which can guarantee their existence (c.f. [7]). In general, the behavior of the differential entropy and Fisher information may be unpredictable as shown by Wu and Verdú [17]. However, this work studies the higher order derivatives of $h(Y_t)$, where $t > 0$ is imposed. Under this assumption, Y_t has some good properties; e.g., in [8], the density of Y_t is proved to be infinitely differentiable everywhere.

A. Properties of $f(y, t)$

The following property is well known (e.g., [7, Lemma 1]).

Proposition 1. *For any fixed $t > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, all the derivatives $f^{(n)}(y, t)$ exist, are bounded, and satisfy*

$$\lim_{|y|\to\infty} f^{(n)}(y, t) = 0.$$

The following property is used repeatedly in the rest of the paper, for dealing with integration by parts. The proof is presented in Appendix A.

Proposition 2. *For any $r, m_i, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the following integral exists*

$$\int f\left|\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{[f^{(m_i)}]^{k_i}}{f^{k_i}}\right| \mathrm{d}y.$$

In particular, this implies that

$$\lim_{|y|\to\infty} f \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{[f^{(m_i)}]^{k_i}}{f^{k_i}} = 0. \qquad (8)$$

B. The heat equation

For a Gaussian random variable $\hat{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with density function $\hat{f}(x)$, one can show that the following heat equation holds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^2)}\hat{f} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{f}.$$

The heat equation also holds for Y_t [2], and was used by [16] to simplify Costa's proof.

Lemma 2.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(y,t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}f(y,t). \tag{9}$$

Proof: The proof is known in the literature and we present it here for completeness. By some calculus,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_t &= \int g(x)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}\left(\frac{(y-x)^2}{2}\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{2t}\right)\mathrm{d}x,\\
f_y &= \int g(x)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}\left(-\frac{1}{t}(y-x)\right)\mathrm{d}x,\\
f_{yy} &= \int g(x)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{t}(y-x)\right)^2 - \frac{1}{t}\right]\mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing f_{yy} with f_t, the lemma can be proved. ■

C. Proof to Lemma 1

The proof to Lemma 1 is known in the literature. Here we slightly modify the proof, so that the idea carries over to the proof of Theorem 1 and even the cases with higher-order derivatives.

Proof: For the first order derivative we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(Y_t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[-\int f(y,t)\log f(y,t)\mathrm{d}y\right]\\
&= -\int f_t(1+\log f)\mathrm{d}y\\
&\overset{(9)}{=} -\int \frac{1}{2}f_{yy}(1+\log f)\mathrm{d}y\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int (1+\log f)\mathrm{d}f_y\\
&\overset{(a)}{=} -\frac{1}{2}f_y(1+\log f)\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{f_y^2}{f}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\overset{(b)}{=} 0 + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{f_y^2}{f}\mathrm{d}y\\
&= \frac{1}{2}J(Y_t).
\end{aligned}$$

In (a) we apply integration by parts. In (b) the limits are zero, because $f_y(1+\log f) = \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f}}(\sqrt{f} + \sqrt{f}\log f)$, where $\frac{f_y^2}{f} \to 0$ from Proposition 2, $\sqrt{f} \to 0$ as $|y| \to \infty$, and $\sqrt{f}\log f \to 0$ because $x\log x \to 0$ as $x \to 0$.

For the second order derivative, similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(Y_t) &= \int \frac{2f_y f_{yt} f - f_y^2 f_t}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\overset{(9)}{=} \int \frac{f_y f_{yyy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}f_y\\
&= \frac{f_y^3}{f^2}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_y 2\frac{f_y}{f}\frac{f_{yy}f - f_y f_y}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\overset{(8)}{=} 0 - 2\int \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y + 2\int \frac{f_y^4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y = \int \frac{2f_y^4}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}y. \tag{10}$$

For the first term

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_y f_{yyy}}{f}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_y}{f}\mathrm{d}f_{yy}\\ &= \frac{f_y f_{yy}}{f}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_{yy}\frac{f_{yy}f - f_y f_y}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y\\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 + \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y\\ &\overset{(10)}{=\!=\!=} \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{2f_y^4}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Combining these two terms we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(Y_t) &= \int \frac{f_y f_{yyy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2 f_{yy}}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}y\\ &\overset{(11)(10)}{=\!=\!=\!=} \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{f_y^4}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Now it suffices to show that the right-hand side term in (7) has the same form:

$$\begin{aligned}-\int f\left(\frac{f_{yy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y &= \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{2f_y^2 f_{yy}}{f^2} - \frac{f_y^4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y\\ &\overset{(10)}{=\!=} \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{4f_y^4}{3f^3} - \frac{f_y^4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y\\ &= (12).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is finished. ∎

One may notice that we first use the heat equation to deal with f_t, then apply integration by parts to eliminate those terms whose highest-order derivatives have power one. Equation (11) explains this elimination, as one can see that in the final expression the highest-order derivatives are f_{yy}^2 and f_y^4, whose powers are bigger than one.

IV. Proof to Theorem 1

The following lemma is instrumental in proving Theorem 1.

Lemma 3.

$$\int \frac{f_1^4 f_2}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y = \int \frac{4f_1^6}{5f^5}\mathrm{d}y \tag{13}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1^3 f_3}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{12f_1^6}{5f^5}\mathrm{d}y \tag{14}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1 f_2 f_3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \tag{15}$$

$$\int \frac{f_2 f_4}{f}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{f_3^2}{f} - \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \tag{16}$$

Proof: See Appendix C. ∎

This lemma is similar to what we did in equations (10) and (11): For the terms on the left-hand side, the highest-order derivatives have power one; while for the right-hand side, they are bigger than one.

Next, we prove Theorem 1.

Proof: From (12)

$$2\frac{\partial^2 h(Y_t)}{\partial t^2} = \int -\frac{f_{yy}^2}{f} + \frac{f_y^4}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}y \equiv \int -\frac{f_2^2}{f} + \frac{f_1^4}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}y.$$

Thus

$$2\frac{\partial^3 h(Y_t)}{\partial t^3} = \int \left(-\frac{f_2^2}{f} + \frac{f_1^4}{3f^3}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y.$$

By repeatedly applying the heat equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\int \left(\frac{f_2^2}{f}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{2f_2 f_{2t} f - f_2^2 f_t}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=\!=} \int \frac{2f_2 \frac{1}{2} f_4 f - f_2^2 \frac{1}{2} f_2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{f_2 f_4}{f} - \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \left(\frac{f_1^4}{3f^3}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{4f_1^3 f_{1t} f^3 - f_1^4 3f^2 f_t}{3f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=\!=} \int \frac{4f_1^3 \frac{1}{2} f_3 f^3 - f_1^4 3f^2 \frac{1}{2} f_2}{3f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{2f_1^3 f_3}{3f^3} - \frac{f_1^4 f_2}{2f^4}\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Substitute these terms and use Lemma 3:

$$\begin{aligned}2\frac{\partial^3 h(Y_t)}{\partial t^3} &= \int \left(-\frac{f_2 f_4}{f} + \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2}\right) + \left(\frac{2f_1^3 f_3}{3f^3} - \frac{f_1^4 f_2}{2f^4}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma 3}}{=\!=\!=} \int -\left(-\frac{f_3^2}{f} - \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\right) + \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{12f_1^6}{5f^5}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4f_1^6}{5f^5}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} + \frac{f_2^3}{f^2} - \frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{6f_1^6}{5f^5}\mathrm{d}y \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

Then we do the same manipulations to $2\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}h(Y_t)$ in Theorem 1. That is, applying Lemma 3 to the corresponding terms and we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int f\left(\frac{f_3}{f} - \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{f_1^3}{f^3}\right)^2 + \frac{f_1^6}{45f^5}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{f_1^6}{9f^5} - \frac{2f_1 f_2 f_3}{f^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2f_1^3 f_3}{3f^3} - \frac{2f_1^4 f_2}{3f^4} + \frac{f_1^6}{45f^5}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma 3}}{=\!=\!=} \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{6f_1^6}{45f^5} - 2\left(-\frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{12f_1^6}{5f^5}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{4f_1^6}{5f^5}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} - 3\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{54}{45}\frac{f_1^6}{f^5} + \frac{f_2^3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= (17)\end{aligned}$$

Thus the expression is proved.

Finally,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J(Y_t) = 2\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}h(Y_t) \geq 0,$$

which means $J(Y_t)$ is convex in t. ■

V. Proof to Theorem 2

The proof is the same as that to Theorem 1, except there are more manipulations. The following lemma is instrumental in proving Theorem 2.

Lemma 4.

$$\int \frac{f_1^6 f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y = \int \frac{6f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \tag{18}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1^5 f_3}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{5f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{30f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \tag{19}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1^3 f_2 f_3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} + \frac{2f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y \tag{20}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \tag{21}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1^4 f_4}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y = \int \frac{6f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4} - \frac{28f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{120f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_1^2 f_2 f_4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y = \int &\frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} - \frac{13f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} \\ &- \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} + \frac{6f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\int \frac{f_2^2 f_4}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{2f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} - \frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{2f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \tag{24}$$

$$\int \frac{f_1 f_3 f_4}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \tag{25}$$

$$\int \frac{f_3 f_5}{f}\mathrm{d}y = \int -\frac{f_4^2}{f} - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \tag{26}$$

Proof: See Appendix D. ∎

Next, we prove Theorem 2.

Proof: According to (17)

$$2\frac{\partial^4 h(Y_t)}{\partial t^4} = \int \left(\frac{f_3^2}{f} + \frac{f_2^3}{f^2} - \frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{6f_1^6}{5f^5}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y$$

We first apply the heat equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\int \left(\frac{f_3^2}{f}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{2f_3 f_{3t} f - f_3^2 f_t}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=} \int \frac{f_3 f_5 f - f_3^2 \frac{1}{2} f_2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{f_3 f_5}{f} - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \left(\frac{f_2^3}{f^2}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{3f_2^2 f_{2t} f^2 - f_2^3 2 f f_t}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=} \int \frac{\frac{3}{2}f_2^2 f_4 f^2 - f_2^3 f f_2}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{3f_2^2 f_4}{2f^2} - \frac{f_2^4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \left(\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{6f_1 f_2 (f_{1t} f_2 + f_1 f_{2t}) f^3 - 3f_1^2 f_2^2 3f^2 f_t}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=} \int \frac{3f_1 f_2 (f_3 f_2 + f_1 f_4) f^3 - \frac{9}{2} f_1^2 f_2^2 f^2 f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{3f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3} + \frac{3f_1^2 f_2 f_4}{f^3} - \frac{9f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \left(\frac{6f_1^6}{5f^5}\right)_t \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{36f_1^5 f_{1t} f^5 - 6f_1^6 5f^4 f_t}{5f^{10}}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(9)}{=\!=} \int \frac{18f_1^5 f_3 f^5 - 15f_1^6 f^4 f_2}{5f^{10}}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int \frac{18f_1^5 f_3}{5f^5} - \frac{3f_1^6 f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

Substitute these terms and use Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned}&2\frac{\partial^4 h(Y_t)}{\partial t^4} \\ &= \int \left(\frac{f_3 f_5}{f} - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2}\right) + \left(\frac{3f_2^2 f_4}{2f^2} - \frac{f_2^4}{f^3}\right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{3f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3} + \frac{3f_1^2 f_2 f_4}{f^3} - \frac{9f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{18f_1^5 f_3}{5f^5} - \frac{3f_1^6 f_2}{f^6}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma 4}}{=\!=\!=} \int \left(-\frac{f_4^2}{f} - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3}\right) - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}\left(-\frac{2f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} - \frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{2f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\right) - \frac{f_2^4}{f^3} \\ &\quad - 3\left(-\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\right) \\ &\quad - 3\left(\frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} - \frac{13f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} - \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} + \frac{6f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{9f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} + \frac{18}{5}\left(-\frac{5f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{30f_1^8}{7f^7}\right) - 3\left(\frac{6f_1^8}{7f^7}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{f_4^2}{f} + (-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - 3)\frac{f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} + (1+3)\frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} \\ &\quad + (-1-1+1-2)\frac{f_2^4}{f^3} + (3 - 3 + \frac{39}{2} + \frac{9}{2})\frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4} \\ &\quad + (-18-18)\frac{f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + (\frac{108}{7} - \frac{18}{7})\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{f_4^2}{f} - \frac{4f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} + \frac{4f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} - \frac{3f_2^4}{f^3} + \frac{24f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4} \\ &\quad - \frac{36f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{90f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Then we do the same manipulations to $2\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4}h(Y_t)$ in Theorem 2. That is, applying Lemma 4 to the corresponding terms.

To simplify the calculation, we first consider the following general expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int f\left(x_0\frac{f_4}{f}+x_1\frac{f_1f_3}{f^2}+x_2\frac{f_2^2}{f^2}+x_3\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}+x_4\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int x_0^2\frac{f_4^2}{f}+x_1^2\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}+x_2^2\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}+x_3^2\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+x_4^2\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\\
&\quad+2x_0x_1\frac{f_1f_3f_4}{f^2}+2x_0x_2\frac{f_2^2f_4}{f^2}+2x_0x_3\frac{f_1^2f_2f_4}{f^3}\\
&\quad+2x_0x_4\frac{f_1^4f_4}{f^4}+2x_1x_2\frac{f_1f_2^2f_3}{f^3}+2x_1x_3\frac{f_1^3f_2f_3}{f^4}\\
&\quad+2x_1x_4\frac{f_1^5f_3}{f^5}+2x_2x_3\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\\
&\quad+2x_2x_4\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+2x_3x_4\frac{f_1^6f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\overset{\text{Lemma 4}}{=\!=\!=}\int x_0^2\frac{f_4^2}{f}+x_1^2\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}+x_2^2\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}+x_3^2\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+x_4^2\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}+2x_0x_1\left(-\frac{f_2f_3^2}{2f^2}+\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_0x_2\left(-\frac{2f_2f_3^2}{f^2}-\frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3}+\frac{2f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_0x_3\left(\frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3}-\frac{13f_1^2f_2^3}{2f^4}-\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}+\frac{6f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_0x_4\left(\frac{6f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}-\frac{28f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+\frac{120f_1^8}{7f^7}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_1x_2\left(-\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3}+\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_1x_3\left(-\frac{3f_1^2f_2^3}{2f^4}+\frac{2f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_1x_4\left(-\frac{5f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+\frac{30f_1^8}{7f^7}\right)\\
&\quad+2x_2x_3\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}+2x_2x_4\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+2x_3x_4\left(\frac{6f_1^8}{7f^7}\right)\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int x_0^2\frac{f_4^2}{f}+(x_1^2+2x_0x_1-2x_0x_3)\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}\\
&\quad+(x_2^2-\frac{4}{3}x_0x_2+\frac{4}{3}x_0x_3-\frac{2}{3}x_1x_2)\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}\\
&\quad+(x_3^2+12x_0x_3-56x_0x_4+4x_1x_3\\
&\qquad-10x_1x_4+2x_2x_4)\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+(x_4^2+\frac{240}{7}x_0x_4+\frac{60}{7}x_1x_4+\frac{12}{7}x_3x_4)\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\\
&\quad+(-x_0x_1-4x_0x_2)\frac{f_2f_3^2}{f^2}\\
&\quad+(4x_0x_2-13x_0x_3+12x_0x_4+2x_1x_2\\
&\qquad-3x_1x_3+2x_2x_3)\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y,
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

With this general simplification, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int f\left(\frac{f_4}{f}-\frac{6}{5}\frac{f_1f_3}{f^2}-\frac{7}{10}\frac{f_2^2}{f^2}+\frac{8}{5}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \frac{f_4^2}{f}+\left((-\frac{6}{5})^2+2(-\frac{6}{5})-2(\frac{8}{5})\right)\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}\\
&\quad+\left((-\frac{7}{10})^2-\frac{4}{3}(-\frac{7}{10})+\frac{4}{3}(\frac{8}{5})\right.\\
&\quad\left.-\frac{2}{3}(-\frac{6}{5})(-\frac{7}{10})\right)\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}\\
&\quad+\left((\frac{8}{5})^2+12(\frac{8}{5})-56(-\frac{1}{2})+4(-\frac{6}{5})(\frac{8}{5})\right.\\
&\quad\left.-10(-\frac{6}{5})(-\frac{1}{2})+2(-\frac{7}{10})(-\frac{1}{2})\right)\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+\left((-\frac{1}{2})^2+\frac{240}{7}(-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{60}{7}(-\frac{6}{5})(-\frac{1}{2})\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{12}{7}(\frac{8}{5})(-\frac{1}{2})\right)\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\\
&\quad+\left(-(-\frac{6}{5})-4(-\frac{7}{10})\right)\frac{f_2f_3^2}{f^2}\\
&\quad+\left(4(-\frac{7}{10})-13(\frac{8}{5})+12(-\frac{1}{2})+2(-\frac{6}{5})(-\frac{7}{10})\right.\\
&\quad\left.-3(-\frac{6}{5})(\frac{8}{5})+2(-\frac{7}{10})(\frac{8}{5})\right)\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \frac{f_4^2}{f}-\frac{104f_1^2f_3^2}{25f^3}+\frac{899f_2^4}{300f^3}+\frac{1839f_1^4f_2^2}{50f^5}\\
&\quad-\frac{1837f_1^8}{140f^7}+\frac{4f_2f_3^2}{f^2}-\frac{122f_1^2f_2^3}{5f^4}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int f\left(\frac{2}{5}\frac{f_1f_3}{f^2}-\frac{1}{3}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}+\frac{9}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \left((\frac{2}{5})^2\right)\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}\\
&\quad+\left((-\frac{1}{3})^2+4(\frac{2}{5})(-\frac{1}{3})-10(\frac{2}{5})(\frac{9}{100})\right)\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+\left((\frac{9}{100})^2+\frac{60}{7}(\frac{2}{5})(\frac{9}{100})+\frac{12}{7}(-\frac{1}{3})(\frac{9}{100})\right)\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\\
&\quad+\left(-3(\frac{2}{5})(-\frac{1}{3})\right)\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \frac{4f_1^2f_3^2}{25f^3}-\frac{704f_1^4f_2^2}{900f^5}+\frac{18567f_1^8}{70000f^7}+\frac{2f_1^2f_2^3}{5f^4}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int f\left(-\frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}+\frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \left((-\frac{4}{100})^2\right)\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+\left((\frac{4}{100})^2+\frac{12}{7}(-\frac{4}{100})(\frac{4}{100})\right)\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=\int \frac{16f_1^4f_2^2}{10000f^5}-\frac{80f_1^8}{70000f^7}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

By (29), (30) and (31)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int f\left(\frac{f_4}{f}-\frac{6}{5}\frac{f_1f_3}{f^2}-\frac{7}{10}\frac{f_2^2}{f^2}+\frac{8}{5}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\\
&\quad+f\left(\frac{2}{5}\frac{f_1f_3}{f^2}-\frac{1}{3}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}+\frac{9}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\\
&\quad+f\left(-\frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^2f_2}{f^3}+\frac{4}{100}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{300}\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}+\frac{56}{90000}\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+\frac{13}{70000}\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=-\int\left(\frac{f_4^2}{f}-\frac{104f_1^2f_3^2}{25f^3}+\frac{899f_2^4}{300f^3}+\frac{1839f_1^4f_2^2}{50f^5}\right.\\
&\quad\left.-\frac{1837f_1^8}{140f^7}+\frac{4f_2f_3^2}{f^2}-\frac{122f_1^2f_2^3}{5f^4}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(\frac{4f_1^2f_3^2}{25f^3}-\frac{704f_1^4f_2^2}{900f^5}+\frac{18567f_1^8}{70000f^7}+\frac{2f_1^2f_2^3}{5f^4}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(\frac{16f_1^4f_2^2}{10000f^5}-\frac{80f_1^8}{70000f^7}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{300}\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}+\frac{56}{90000}\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}+\frac{13}{70000}\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=-\int\frac{f_4^2}{f}+(-\frac{104}{25}+\frac{4}{25})\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}+(\frac{899}{300}+\frac{1}{300})\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}\\
&\quad+(\frac{1839}{50}-\frac{704}{900}+\frac{16}{10000}+\frac{56}{90000})\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad+(-\frac{1837}{140}+\frac{18567}{70000}-\frac{80}{70000}+\frac{13}{70000})\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}\\
&\quad+\frac{4f_2f_3^2}{f^2}+(-\frac{122}{5}+\frac{2}{5})\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=-\int\frac{f_4^2}{f}-4\frac{f_1^2f_3^2}{f^3}+3\frac{f_2^4}{f^3}+36\frac{f_1^4f_2^2}{f^5}\\
&\quad-\frac{90}{7}\frac{f_1^8}{f^7}+\frac{4f_2f_3^2}{f^2}-24\frac{f_1^2f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y\\
&=(27),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

VI. Alternative signed representations

In this section, we discuss alternative signed representations of $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(Y_t)$ in Lemma 1, Theorem 1, and Theorem 2. For the first order derivative, the representation is unique due to its simplicity. For the second and third order derivatives, we have the following alternative representations stated in Corollary 1 and 2. The proof of Corollary 1, though simple, contains the idea of how we obtain the formulae in Theorem 1 and 2.

Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(Y_t)\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\int f\left(\alpha\frac{f_2}{f}+\beta\frac{f_1^2}{f^2}\right)^2+f\left(\gamma\frac{f_1^2}{f^2}\right)^2\\
&\qquad+(1-\alpha^2)\frac{f_2^2}{f}+(-\beta^2-\gamma^2-\frac{4}{3}\alpha\beta-\frac{1}{3})\frac{f_1^4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha^2&\geq 0\\
-\beta^2-\gamma^2-\frac{4}{3}\alpha\beta-\frac{1}{3}&\geq 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{32}
$$

One set of solution is

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad -1 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{3},$$

where the case $\beta = -1$ corresponds to the result in Lemma 1.

Proof: After applying the heat equation, the orders of derivatives in each term of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t)$ have sum equals four. Thus we consider expressing the second derivative as

$$2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t) = -\sum_i \int f \left(\alpha_i \frac{f_2}{f} + \beta_i \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y,$$

where α_i and β_i are coefficients. Since for the reals A, B, C, a, b, the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&(aA+B)^2 + (bA+C)^2 \\
&= \left(\sqrt{a^2+b^2} A + \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} B + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} C \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} B - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} C \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

it suffices to consider the following expression

$$2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t) = -\int f \left(\alpha \frac{f_2}{f} + \beta \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 + f \left(\gamma \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y.$$

Now similar to the proof to Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int f \left(\alpha \frac{f_2}{f} + \beta \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 + f \left(\gamma \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \\
&= -\int \alpha^2 \frac{f_2^2}{f} + 2\alpha\beta \frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^2} + (\beta^2+\gamma^2) \frac{f_1^4}{f^3} \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(10)}{=\!=} -\int \alpha^2 \frac{f_2^2}{f} + (\beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha\beta) \frac{f_1^4}{f^3} \mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (12), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t) \\
&= -\int f \left(\alpha \frac{f_2}{f} + \beta \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 + f \left(\gamma \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha^2) \frac{f_2^2}{f} + (-\beta^2 - \gamma^2 - \frac{4}{3}\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{3}) \frac{f_1^4}{f^3} \mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that the second derivative is negative, one requires

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \alpha^2 &\geq 0 \\
-\beta^2 - \gamma^2 - \frac{4}{3}\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{3} &\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And it is easy to verify the set of solution

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad -1 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{3}.$$

■

For the third derivative, similar to Corollary 1, one could determine the coefficients c_i in the following

$$\begin{aligned}
2\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} h(Y_t) = \int f &\left(c_0 \frac{f_3}{f} + c_1 \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2} + c_2 \frac{f_1^3}{f^3} \right)^2 \\
&+ f \left(c_3 \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2} + c_4 \frac{f_1^3}{f^3} \right)^2 + f \left(c_5 \frac{f_1^3}{f^3} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Since there is no essential difference, we would not present the general expression for the third derivative, but just prove the following corollary.

Corollary 2.

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}h(Y_t) = \frac{1}{2}\int f\left(\frac{f_3}{f} - \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2} + \beta\frac{f_1^3}{f^3}\right)^2 + (6\beta-2)\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{16}{5}\beta - \beta^2)\frac{f_1^6}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y,$$

where $\frac{1}{3} \le \beta \le \frac{-8+\sqrt{94}}{5}$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int f\left(\frac{f_3}{f} - \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2} + \beta\frac{f_1^3}{f^3}\right)^2 + (6\beta-2)\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} \\
&\quad + (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{16}{5}\beta - \beta^2)\frac{f_1^6}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} + (6\beta-1)\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{16}{5}\beta)\frac{f_1^6}{f^5} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{f_1 f_2 f_3}{f^2} + 2\beta\frac{f_1^3 f_3}{f^3} - 2\beta\frac{f_1^4 f_2}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{\text{Lemma 3}}{=\!=} \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} + (6\beta-1)\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{16}{5}\beta)\frac{f_1^6}{f^5} \\
&\quad - 2\left(-\frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\right) + 2\beta\left(-\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{12 f_1^6}{5f^5}\right) \\
&\quad - 2\beta\left(\frac{4f_1^6}{5f^5}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int \frac{f_3^2}{f} - 3\frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{6}{5}\frac{f_1^6}{f^5} + \frac{f_2^3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= (17).
\end{aligned}$$

The interval of β ensures that the coefficients are positive. ∎

For the second and third order derivatives of $h(Y_t)$, the representations can be obtained by hand. For the fourth order derivative, we consider the following representation

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4}h(Y_t) \\
&= -\int f\left(c_0\frac{f_4}{f} + c_1\frac{f_1 f_3}{f^2} + c_2\frac{f_2^2}{f^2} + c_3\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + c_4\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + f\left(c_5\frac{f_1 f_3}{f^2} + c_6\frac{f_2^2}{f^2} + c_7\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + c_8\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + f\left(c_9\frac{f_2^2}{f^2} + c_{10}\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + c_{11}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + f\left(c_{12}\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3} + c_{13}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2 + f\left(c_{14}\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)^2\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

By (28), we can obtain some constraints similar to (32), and finally find the feasible set of coefficients in Theorem 2 by numerical methods. The process is much more complicated, and we would not present it here.

VII. Conjectures

Motivated by Theorem 1 – 3, we would like to introduce the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. *The n-th order derivative of $h(Y_t)$ satisfies*

1. $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(Y_t) \le 0$ *when n is even;*
2. $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(Y_t) \ge 0$ *when n is odd;*

i.e., $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(Y_t)$ is either convex or concave in t for a fixed n.

It is easy to see that when X is Gaussian, the above conjectures hold. Conjecture 1 speculates that for a fixed n, the convexity or concavity of $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}h(Y_t)$ remains as if X is Gaussian. Conjecture 1 has been verified for $n \le 2$ in the literature (Lemma 1), and for $n = 3, 4$ by Theorem 1 and 2.



(a) $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ (b) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$

Fig. 1. $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ is neither concave nor convex.

Remark 1. *The general pattern for the signed form of the n-th order derivative is that, first we need to find all the partitions of n, and then each partition is an item in the squares. But the exact coefficients are hard to obtain. One can apply the same technique to deal with the fifth derivative, or even higher. However, the manipulation by hand is huge and hence it is prohibitive in computational cost, unless one can find some patterns for the coefficients in the signed representations. Some softwares like Mathematica may be useful to verify the higher order derivatives based on the simple rules observed from the fourth derivative, but we still need a mathematical proof.*

The second conjecture is on the log-convexity of Fisher information. From the grand picture of differential entropy and Fisher information, nearly every result on different entropy has a counterpart in Fisher information, e.g., Shannon EPI and FII, the concavity of $h(Y_t)$ and the convexity of $J(Y_t)$ as well as de Bruijn' identity. Corresponding to Costa's EPI, there may be a strengthened convexity of $J(Y_t)$.

Conjecture 2 (log-convex)**.** $\log J(Y_t)$ *is convex in t.*

When X is standard white Gaussian, $J(Y_t) = \frac{1}{t+1}$. We may speculate $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ or $\log J(Y_t)$ is convex in t. Simulations show that $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ is neither convex nor concave. Fig. 1 illustrates an example of $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$, where X is mixed Gaussian with p.d.f. $g(x) = 0.5f_G(0, 0.1) + 0.5f_G(10, 0.1)$ and $f_G(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is the p.d.f. of Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Limited simulations show that $\log J(Y_t)$ is convex.

Remark 2. *After finishing this paper, we realized that Conjecture 1 implies Conjecture 2. See Section IX for the details.*

VIII. Concavity of $h(\sqrt{t}X + \sqrt{1-t}Z)$

For $0 < t < 1$, let

$$W_t := \sqrt{t}X + \sqrt{1-t}Z, \tag{33}$$

where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ is independent of X. In this section, we study the concavity and convexity of $h(W_t)$ and $J(W_t)$, respectively.

Lieb showed that Shannon EPI (3) is equivalent to

$$h(\sqrt{\lambda}X_1 + \sqrt{1-\lambda}X_2) \geq \lambda h(X_1) + (1-\lambda)h(X_2) \tag{34}$$

for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Here we use X_1 and X_2 in lieu of X and Y as the independent random variables.

In the literature, $(X_1, X_2) \to \sqrt{\lambda}X_1 + \sqrt{1-\lambda}X_2$ is referred to as the covariance-preserving transformation, which can be found in many generalizations of Shannon EPI ([7]). The original proof of Lieb is a little tricky. Next, we give a geometrical interpretation of this transformation which can help us to have a better appreciation on $\sqrt{\lambda}X_1 + \sqrt{1-\lambda}X_2$.

A. Covariance-preserving Transformation

Recall that a convex function has the following three equivalent statements.

Let $f(x)$ be a function which is twice differentiable, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $f(x)$ is convex in x.

2. The Hessian matrix of $f(x)$ is positive semi-definite; i.e.,

$$\nabla^2 f \succeq 0. \tag{35}$$

3. For any fixed point x_0,

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + (x - x_0)^T \nabla f(x_0). \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, $y = f(x_0) + (x - x_0)^T \nabla f(x_0)$ can be viewed as the tangent plane at point $(x_0, f(x_0))$ for function $y = f(x)$. In the following, we shall apply the above argument on convex functions to study the so-called covariance-preserving transformation.

Shannon EPI (3) can be equivalently transformed to

$$h(X_1 + X_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(e^{2h(X_1)} + e^{2h(X_2)} \right). \tag{37}$$

Let's study function $f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2} \right)$. By some manipulations,

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right) = \left(\frac{e^{2x_1}}{e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2}}, \frac{e^{2x_2}}{e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2}} \right),$$
$$\nabla^2 f = \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i x_j} \right]_{ij} = \frac{2e^{2x_1} e^{2x_2}}{(e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2})^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $f(x_1, x_2)$ is convex since $\nabla^2 f \succeq 0$. By (36), the tangent plane of $f(x_1, x_2)$ at point $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_1^2), \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_2^2))$ is

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \right) + \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_1^2) \right) \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} + \left(x_2 - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_2^2) \right) \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}. \tag{38}$$

Hence, (3) is equivalent to

$$h(X_1 + X_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \right) + \left(h(X_1) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_1^2) \right) \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} + \left(h(X_2) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_2^2) \right) \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}. \tag{39}$$

Let

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}.$$

Notice that $h(aX) = h(X) + \log |a|$, we have

$$h(X_1 + X_2) \geq \lambda h(X_1/\sqrt{\lambda}) + (1 - \lambda) h(X_2/\sqrt{1 - \lambda}). \tag{40}$$

Substitute (X_1, X_2) with $(\sqrt{\lambda} X_1, \sqrt{1 - \lambda} X_2)$,

$$h(\sqrt{\lambda} X_1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda} X_2) \geq \lambda h(X_1) + (1 - \lambda) h(X_2), \tag{41}$$

which is exactly the inequality (34).

In the above proof, the points share the same tangent plane (40) as long as they admit the same λ. In fact, all the results (see [7]) that applied covariance-preserving transformation can be proved in this manner.

B. The concavity of $h(W_t)$

Theorem 4. *$h(W_t)$ is concave in t, $0 < t < 1$.*

Proof: Since

$$h(W_t) = h(X + \sqrt{1/t - 1} Z) + \frac{1}{2} \log t,$$

by some algebra, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(W_t) = \frac{1}{2} J(X + \sqrt{1/t - 1} Z) \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2t} \tag{42}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(W_t) = \frac{1}{2}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\left(-\frac{1}{t^2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\left(\frac{2}{t^3}\right) - \frac{1}{2t^2}. \tag{43}$$

To show

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}h(W_t) \le 0,$$

we need to prove

$$-\frac{1}{2}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\left(-\frac{1}{t^2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2t^2} \ge \frac{1}{2}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\left(\frac{2}{t^3}\right).$$

That is

$$-J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z) + t^2 \ge 2tJ(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z). \tag{44}$$

By (61), Costa's EPI is equivalent to

$$-J'(X+\sqrt{s}Z) \ge J(X+\sqrt{s}Z)^2,$$

for any $s>0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &-J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z) + t^2 \\ &\ge J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)^2 + t^2 \\ &\ge 2tJ(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z), \end{aligned}$$

which is (44). ■

In all the results above, as $t>0$, $X+\sqrt{t}Z$ can be replaced by $X'+\sqrt{s}Z\big|_{s=0}$, where $X' = X+\sqrt{t}\hat{Z}$ and $\hat{Z}$ is the standard Gaussian and is independent of X and Z. In this manner, we only need to prove that the result holds for any such X' at point $s=0$. In light of the smoothness introduced by $\sqrt{t}Z$ where $t>0$, without weakening our result, we can just assume that when $t\to 0$, the n-th order derivative of $h(X+\sqrt{t}Z)$ exists in the sequel.

Next, we show that Theorem 4 can imply Costa's EPI. In the above proof, if $J(X)$ and $J'(X)$ are well defined, then let $t\to 1$ in (44),

$$-J'(X)+1 \ge 2J(X). \tag{45}$$

Let $\hat{X} = X/\sqrt{J(X)}$, then

$$J'(\hat{X}) = \frac{J'(X)}{J(X)^2}, \text{ and } J(\hat{X}) = 1. \tag{46}$$

Substitute X with $\hat{X}$ in (45),

$$-\frac{J'(X)}{J(X)^2} \ge 1, \tag{47}$$

which is just Costa's EPI by (61).

C. The convexity of $J(W_t)$

In this section, we study the convexity of $J(W_t)$ via the relations among the convexities of $J(W_t)$, $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$, and $\log J(Y_t)$.

Claim 1. *$J(W_t)$ is not convex.*

By some algebra, $\log J(Y_t)$ is convex in t if and only if

$$J''(Y_t)J(Y_t) \ge (J'(Y_t))^2. \tag{48}$$

$\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ is convex in t if and only if

$$J''(Y_t)J(Y_t) \le 2(J'(Y_t))^2, \tag{49}$$

and concave if and only if

$$J''(Y_t)J(Y_t) \ge 2(J'(Y_t))^2. \tag{50}$$

The first and second order derivatives of $J(W_t)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}J(W_t)\\
&=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{1}{t}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&=-\frac{1}{t^2}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)-\frac{1}{t^3}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)
\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J(W_t)\\
&=\frac{2}{t^3}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)+\frac{1}{t^4}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{t^4}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)+\frac{1}{t^5}J''(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&=\frac{2}{t^3}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)+\frac{4}{t^4}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{t^5}J''(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z).
\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

If we can show that

$$J''(X+\sqrt{s}Z)J(X+\sqrt{s}Z)\geq 2(J'(X+\sqrt{s}Z))^2\tag{53}$$

holds for any $s>0$, then (48) holds and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J(W_t)\\
&=\frac{2}{t^3}J(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)+\frac{4}{t^4}J'(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{t^5}J''(X+\sqrt{1/t-1}Z)\\
&\geq 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{t^3}J\times\frac{1}{t^5}J''}+\frac{4}{t^4}J'\\
&\geq 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{t^8}2(J')^2}+\frac{4}{t^4}J'\\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}\tag{54}$$

Conversely, if $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J(W_t)\geq 0$ holds, we can show that (53) also holds. In (52), let $t\to 1$, we obtain that

$$2J(X)+4J'(X)+J''(X)\geq 0.$$

Substitute X by $X'=aX$, where $a>0$,

$$2\frac{J(X)}{a^2}+4\frac{J'(X)}{a^4}+\frac{J''(X)}{a^6}\geq 0.\tag{55}$$

Note that $J\geq 0$ and $J''\geq 0$. Choose proper a such that

$$2\frac{J(X)}{a^2}=\frac{J''(X)}{a^6}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{J(X)}{a^2}=\frac{J''(X)}{a^6}\\
&=\sqrt{2\frac{J(X)}{a^2}\times\frac{J''(X)}{a^6}}=\frac{1}{a^4}\sqrt{2J(X)J''(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (55) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{J(X)}{a^2}+4\frac{J'(X)}{a^4}+\frac{J''(X)}{a^6}\\
&=\frac{2}{a^4}\sqrt{2J(X)J''(X)}+4\frac{J'(X)}{a^4}\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is

$$J(X)J''(X) \geq 2(J'(X))^2.$$

Hence, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} J(W_t) \geq 0$ if and only if (53) holds for arbitrary X. Because $\frac{1}{J(Y_t)}$ is neither convex nor concave for arbitrary X, which means neither (49) nor (50) holds always, thus $J(W_t)$ is neither convex nor concave.

IX. Further Discussion

After the third and fourth derivatives are obtained, we consult the literature to find more connections and implications. The first finding is that in the literature of mathematical physics, Conjecture 1 was studied in a 1966 paper [21, Sec. 12] by McKean, who studied the signs of the third and fourth derivatives but failed to prove them. By this means, our results provide an affirmative answer to McKean's problem up to the fourth order. Furthermore, following the routine rules obtained in our paper, one may try to verify the conjecture up to any finite order. McKean's work has many other conjectures regarding thermodynamics and has remained unknown to information theory community until very recently. For more details on McKean's work, one may refer to Villani [22, pp. 165-166].

Another finding is that Conjecture 1 can be discussed in the context of completely monotone functions (Widder [23]).

Definition 1. *A function $f(t), t \in (0, \infty)$ is completely monotone, if for all $n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$*

$$(-1)^n \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}t^n} f(t) \geq 0.$$

Hence, Conjecture 1 can be restated as:

Conjecture (Completely Monotone Conjecture)**.** *$J(Y_t)$ is completely monotone in $t \in (0, \infty)$.*

A very interesting result on completely monotone functions is due to Fink [24]: If $f(t)$ is completely monotone in t, then $f(t)$ is log-convex. By this means, Conjecture 1 can imply Conjecture 2.

Another result on completely monotone functions is the following theorem (Widder [23, p. 160]).

Theorem 5 (Bernstein's theorem)**.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that $f(t)$ should be completely monotone in $[0, \infty)$ is that*

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \mathrm{d}\alpha(x),$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is bounded and non-decreasing and the above integral converges for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

That is, if Conjecture 1 is true, an equivalent expression for Fisher information will be obtained. Noting that $\alpha(x)$ can be regarded as a measure defined on $[0, \infty)$.

In this paper, to simplify the problem, we consider only the univariate case of random variables. For the multivariate case, the computation will be much more involved. Some sophisticated techniques that have been developed in probability theory may be useful; e.g., the Γ_2 calculus, which can be found in Villani [16] and Bakry *et al*. [25].

X. Conclusion

The Gaussian random variables have many fascinating properties. In this paper, we have obtained the third and the fourth order derivatives of $h(X + \sqrt{t}Z)$. The signed representations have a very interesting form. We wish to show that, though we cannot obtain a closed-form expression on $h(X + \sqrt{t}Z)$ when X is arbitrary, we can still obtain its convexity or concavity for any order derivative. Our progress verifies a small part of the conjectures and has nearly exhausted the power of fundamental calculus. A new approach may be needed towards solving these conjectures.

In the literature, the approach that employed heat equation and integration by parts is merely one of many different approaches to prove Costa's EPI. For the approaches like data processing argument in [4] [7], and the advanced tools in [16], it is unknown whether they can go further than what we have done. However, if these conjectures are correct, a rather fundamental fact about the Gaussian random variable will be revealed in the language of differential entropy.

Appendix

A. Proof to Proposition 2

The technique used in this proof is essentially the same as that by Costa. One may refer to [8] for more details.

Proof: One can obtain the formulae for the derivatives as

$$f^{(n)}(y, t) = \int g(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}} H_n(y - x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

where $H_0 = 1$ and H_n satisfies the recursion formula

$$H_n(y-x) = -\frac{y-x}{t}H_{n-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}H_{n-1}.$$

In general H_n can be expressed as

$$H_n(y-x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{n,j}(y-x)^{n-j}$$

where $\alpha_{n,j}$'s are some constants that also depend on t (and actually these constants are zeroes for odd j).

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f^{(n)}}{f} &= \int g(x)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}H_n(y-x)\frac{1}{f(y,t)}\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[H_n(Y_t - X)|Y_t = y\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\alpha_{n,j}\mathbb{E}\left[(Y_t - X)^{n-j}|Y_t = y\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_n := \sum_l |\alpha_{n,l}|$. We prove Proposition 2 by induction on r. When $r = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f\left|\frac{f^{(n)}}{f}\right|^k \mathrm{d}y &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{f^{(n)}}{f}\right|^k\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n}\alpha_{n,j}\mathbb{E}\left[(Y_t - X)^{n-j}|Y_t = y\right]\right|^k\right] \\
&= \alpha_n^k\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{\alpha_{n,j}}{\alpha_n}\mathbb{E}\left[(Y_t - X)^{n-j}|Y_t = y\right]\right|^k\right] \\
&\le \alpha_n^k\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{|\alpha_{n,j}|}{\alpha_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}\left[|Y_t - X|^{n-j}|Y_t = y\right]\right|^k\right] \qquad (56) \\
&\le \alpha_n^k\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{|\alpha_{n,j}|}{\alpha_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[|Y_t - X|^{k(n-j)}|Y_t = y\right]\right] \qquad (57) \\
&= \alpha_n^k\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{|\alpha_{n,j}|}{\alpha_n}\mathbb{E}\left[|Y_t - X|^{k(n-j)}\right] \\
&= \alpha_n^k\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{|\alpha_{n,j}|}{\alpha_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sqrt{t}Z\right|^{k(n-j)}\right] \\
&< +\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where (56) and (57) are due to Jensen's inequality.

When $r \ge 2$, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f\left|\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{[f^{(m_i)}]^{k_i}}{f^{k_i}}\right|\mathrm{d}y &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left|\frac{f^{(m_i)}}{f}\right|^{k_i}\right] \\
&\le \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left|\frac{f^{(m_i)}}{f}\right|^{2k_i}\right]\cdot\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{f^{(m_r)}}{f}\right|^{2k_r}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (58) \\
&< +\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where (58) is by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

The fact that $f\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{[f^{(m_i)}]^{k_i}}{f^{k_i}}$ vanishes as $|y| \to \infty$ can be obtained from the existence of integral. ■

B. Proof to Costa's EPI

Proof: Costa's EPI is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} e^{2h(Y_t)} \leq 0. \tag{59}$$

By some algebra, one needs to show

$$2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(Y_t)\right)^2 \leq -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(Y_t), \tag{60}$$

or

$$J(Y_t)^2 \leq -J'(Y_t), \tag{61}$$

i.e.,

$$\int f \left(\frac{f_{yy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \geq \left(\int \frac{f_y^2}{f} \mathrm{d}y\right)^2, \tag{62}$$

which can be proved by the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int f \left(\frac{f_{yy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \geq \left(\int f \left(\frac{f_{yy}}{f} - \frac{f_y^2}{f^2}\right) \mathrm{d}y\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\int f_{yy} \mathrm{d}y - \int \frac{f_y^2}{f} \mathrm{d}y\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\int \frac{f_y^2}{f} \mathrm{d}y\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

In (63), $\int f_{yy} \mathrm{d}y = \int 2 f_t \mathrm{d}y = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int 2 f \mathrm{d}y = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} 2 = 0$. ■

C. Proof to Lemma 3

Proof: We use integration by parts to eliminate the high-order terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{f_1^4 f_2}{f^4} \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^4}{f^4} \mathrm{d}f_1 \\ &= \int \frac{1}{5f^4} \mathrm{d}f_1^5 \\ &= \frac{f_1^5}{5f^4}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_1^5}{5} \left(\frac{1}{f^4}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 + \int \frac{4 f_1^6}{5 f^5} \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{f_1^3 f_3}{f^3} \mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^3}{f^3} \mathrm{d}f_2 \\ &= \frac{f_1^3 f_2}{f^3}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_2 \left(\frac{f_1^3}{f^3}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_2 \frac{3 f_1^2}{f^2} \frac{f_2 f - f_1 f_1}{f^2} \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{3 f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{3 f_1^4 f_2}{f^4} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(64)}{=\!=} \int -\frac{3 f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3} + \frac{12 f_1^4 f_2}{5 f^4} \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_1 f_2 f_3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1 f_2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}f_2 \\ &= \int \frac{f_1}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}f_2^2 \\ &= \frac{f_1 f_2^2}{2f^2}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_2^2}{2}\left(\frac{f_1}{f^2}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int \frac{f_2^2}{2}\frac{f_2 f^2 - f_1 2 f f_1}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_2 f_4}{f}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_2}{f}\mathrm{d}f_3 \\ &= \frac{f_2 f_3}{f}\Big|_{y=-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_3\left(\frac{f_2}{f}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_3 \frac{f_3 f - f_2 f_1}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{f_3^2}{f} + \frac{f_1 f_2 f_3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(65)}{=\!=} \int -\frac{f_3^2}{f} - \frac{f_2^3}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the limits are zero due to Proposition 2. Since all the integrals and limits exist, all the steps which use integration by parts are valid. ■

D. Proof to Lemma 4

Proof: We use integration by parts to eliminate the high-order terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_1^6 f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^6}{f^6}\mathrm{d}f_1 \\ &= \int \frac{1}{7f^6}\mathrm{d}f_1^7 \\ &= \frac{f_1^7}{7f^6}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_1^7}{7}\left(\frac{1}{f^6}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 + \int \frac{6f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_1^5 f_3}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^5}{f^5}\mathrm{d}f_2 \\ &= \frac{f_1^5 f_2}{f^5}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_2\left(\frac{f_1^5}{f^5}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_2 \frac{5f_1^4}{f^4}\frac{f_2 f - f_1^2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{5f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{5f_1^6 f_2}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(66)}{=\!=} \int -\frac{5f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{30f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_1^3 f_2 f_3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^3 f_2}{f^4}\mathrm{d}f_2 \\
&= \int \frac{f_1^3}{2f^4}\mathrm{d}f_2^2 \\
&= \frac{f_1^3 f_2^2}{2f^4}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_2^2}{2}\left(\frac{f_1^3}{f^4}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int \frac{f_2^2}{2}\frac{3f_1^2 f_2 f^4 - f_1^3 4f^3 f_1}{f^8}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} + \frac{2f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1 f_2^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}f_2 \\
&= \int \frac{f_1}{3f^3}\mathrm{d}f_2^3 \\
&= \frac{f_1 f_2^3}{3f^3}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_2^3}{3}\left(\frac{f_1}{f^3}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int \frac{f_2^3}{3}\frac{f_2 f^3 - f_1 3f^2 f_1}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_1^4 f_4}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\mathrm{d}f_3 \\
&= \frac{f_1^4 f_3}{f^4}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_3\left(\frac{f_1^4}{f^4}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_3 \frac{4f_1^3}{f^3}\frac{f_2 f - f_1^2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{4f_1^3 f_2 f_3}{f^4} + \frac{4f_1^5 f_3}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(68)(67)}{=\!=\!=\!=} \int -4\left(-\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} + \frac{2f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\left(-\frac{5f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{30f_1^8}{7f^7}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int \frac{6f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4} - \frac{28f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5} + \frac{120f_1^8}{7f^7}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{f_1^2 f_2 f_4}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int \frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}f_3 \\
&= \frac{f_1^2 f_2 f_3}{f^3}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_3 \left(\frac{f_1^2 f_2}{f^3}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_3 \frac{(f_1^2 f_2)_y f^3 - f_1^2 f_2 (f^3)_y}{f^6} \\
&= \int -f_3 \frac{(2f_1 f_2 f_2 + f_1^2 f_3) f^3 - f_1^2 f_2 3 f^2 f_1}{f^6}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{2f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3} - \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} + \frac{3f_1^3 f_2 f_3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(69)(68)}{=\!=\!=} \int -2\left(-\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\right) - \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} \\
&\qquad + 3\left(-\frac{3f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} + \frac{2f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int \frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} - \frac{13 f_1^2 f_2^3}{2f^4} - \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3} + \frac{6 f_1^4 f_2^2}{f^5}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{71}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_2^2 f_4}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_2^2}{f^2}\mathrm{d}f_3 \\
&= \frac{f_2^2 f_3}{f^2}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_3 \left(\frac{f_2^2}{f^2}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_3 \frac{2f_2}{f}\frac{f_3 f - f_2 f_1}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{2f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} + \frac{2f_1 f_2^2 f_3}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(69)}{=\!=} \int -\frac{2f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} + 2\left(-\frac{f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{2f_2 f_3^2}{f^2} - \frac{2f_2^4}{3f^3} + \frac{2f_1^2 f_2^3}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{72}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{f_1 f_3 f_4}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_1 f_3}{f^2}\mathrm{d}f_3 \\
&= \int \frac{f_1}{2f^2}\mathrm{d}f_3^2 \\
&= \frac{f_1 f_3^2}{2f^2}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{f_3^2}{2}\left(\frac{f_1}{f^2}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\
&\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int \frac{f_3^2}{2}\frac{f_2 f^2 - f_1 2 f f_1}{f^4}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int -\frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned} \tag{73}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{f_3 f_5}{f}\mathrm{d}y &= \int \frac{f_3}{f}\mathrm{d}f_4 \\ &= \frac{f_3 f_4}{f}\bigg|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int f_4 \left(\frac{f_3}{f}\right)_y \mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(8)}{=\!=} 0 - \int f_4 \frac{f_4 f - f_3 f_1}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int -\frac{f_4^2}{f} + \frac{f_1 f_3 f_4}{f^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\overset{(73)}{=\!=\!=} \int -\frac{f_4^2}{f} - \frac{f_2 f_3^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_1^2 f_3^2}{f^3}\mathrm{d}y \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

■

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Associate Editor and two anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions; especially the second reviewer for providing the references on McKean's Problem and Γ_2 calculus.

F. Cheng would like to express his gratitude to Prof. Raymond Yeung for introducing him the topic on EPI when he was a student at CUHK and the support when he was working at the Institute of Network Coding. He would like to thank Prof. Chandra Nair for teaching him the proof in Costa's paper and the help in preparing the manuscript. He also would like to thank Prof. Venkat Anantharam for his valuable discussion and suggestion, which have greatly improved the paper. He is grateful to Prof. Cédric Villani for sharing his expertise as well as the critical comments and providing the references in mathematical physics. The help from Prof. Amir Dembo and Prof. Andrew Barron is sincerely appreciated.

REFERENCES

[1] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," Bell System Tech. J., vol. 27, (3): pp. 379-423, (4): pp. 623-656, 1948.
[2] A. Stam, "Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon," Information and Control, vol. 2, pp. 101-112, 1959.
[3] N. Blachman, "The convolution inequality for entropy powers," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 267-271, Apr. 1965.
[4] R. Zamir, "A Proof of the Fisher Information Inequality via a Data Processing Argument," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 1246-1250, May 1998.
[5] E. H. Lieb, "Proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl," Comm. Math. Phys., vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 35-41, 1978.
[6] S. Verdú and D. Guo, "A simple proof of the entropy-power inequality," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 2165-2166, May 2006.
[7] O. Rioul, "Information theoretic proofs of entropy power inequalities," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 33-55, 2011.
[8] M. H. M. Costa, "A new entropy power inequality," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 751-760, Nov. 1985.
[9] A. Dembo, "Simple proof of the concavity of the entropy power with respect to added Gaussian noise," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 887-888, Jul. 1989.
[10] R. Zamir and M. Feder, "A Generalization of the Entropy Power Inequality with Applications," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1723-1728, Sep. 1993.
[11] T. Liu and P. Viswanath, "An extremal inequality motivated by multiterminal information theoretic problems," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1839-1851, May 2007.
[12] L. Wang and M. Madiman, "A New Approach to the Entropy Power Inequality, via Rearrangements," IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, 2013.
[13] A. El Gamal and Y.-H. Kim, *Network Information Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2011.
[14] A. Dembo, T. M. Cover, and J. A. Thomas, "Information Theoretic Inequalities," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1501-1517, May 1991.
[15] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, Second Edition, Wiley.
[16] C. Villani, "A short proof of the concavity of entropy power," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1695-1696, 2000.
[17] Y. Wu and S. Verdú, "MMSE dimension," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 4857-4879, Aug. 2011.
[18] D. Guo, S. Shamai (Shitz), and S. Verdú, "Estimation in Gaussian noise: properties of the minimum mean-square error," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 2371-2385, Apr. 2011.
[19] A. R. Barron, "Entropy and the central limit theorem," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 14, pp. 336-342, 1986.
[20] F. Cheng and Y. Geng, "Convexity of Fisher Information with Respect to Gaussian Perturbation," IEEE Iran Workshop on Communication and Information Theory, 2014.
[21] H. P. McKean, Jr., "Speed of approach to equilibrium for Kac's caricature of a Maxwellian gas," Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 21:343-367, 1966.
[22] C. Villani, "A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory," Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics (Vol. 1), Elsevier Science, 2002.
[23] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, 1946.
[24] A. M. Fink, "Kolmogorov-Landau inequalities for monotone functions," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 90 (1982), 251-258.
[25] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, and M. Ledoux, "Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators," Volume 348 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Cham, 2014.

Fan Cheng (S'12-M'14) received the bachelor degree in computer science and engineering from Shanghai Jiao Tong University in 2007, and the PhD degree in information engineering from The Chinese University of Hong Kong in 2012. From 2012-2014, he had been a postdoctoral fellow in the Institute of Network Coding, The Chinese University of Hong Kong. As of 2015, he has been a research fellow in the Department of ECE, NUS, Singapore.

Yanlin Geng (M'12) received his B.Sc. (mathematics) and M.Eng. (signal and information processing) from Peking University, and Ph.D. (information engineering) from the Chinese University of Hong Kong in 2006, 2009, and 2012, respectively. He is currently an Assistant Professor in the School of Information Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University. His research interests are mainly on problems in network information theory.